

LAW OFFICES

BROWNE WOODS GEORGE LLP

MARTA B. ALMLI
IRA G. BIBBERO
MICHAEL A. BOWSE
ROBERT B. BROADBELT
ALLAN BROWNE*
NATHAN DOOLEY
ERIC M. GEORGE
BRIAN C. KERR** ****
SYLVIA P. LARDIERE
SUSAN K. LEADER
SONIA Y. LEE
OLIVIA VASILESCU PALMER**
MARCY RAILSBACK
PETER W. ROSS
BENJAMIN D. SCHEISE
MANSI SHAH
PETER SHIMAMOTO**
ALEX STOLYAR
REBECCA TINGEY**
LEE A. WEISS** ***
KEITH J. WESLEY
GENE WILLIAMS
ANDREW WILMAR**
EDWARD A. WOODS
DAVID ZIFKIN

2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS
SUITE 2400
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
TELEPHONE (310) 274-7100
FACSIMILE (310) 275-5697
WWW.BWGFIRM.COM

LOS ANGELES, CA
NEW YORK, NY

OUR FILE NO.

49 WEST 37TH STREET, 15TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018
TELEPHONE (212) 354-4901
FACSIMILE (212) 354-4904

July 14, 2009

*A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
**ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
***ADMITTED IN TEXAS
****ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT

VIA EMAIL & U.S. MAIL

Editor
New York Post
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-8790
Letters@nypost.com

Re: Deborah Rowe

Dear Editor:

This letter constitutes a demand for an immediate retraction of the story you carried today entitled "DEBBIE ROWE DROPS CUSTODY FIGHT; \$4M Mother Lode for Michael Jackson Ex."

Among the several contenders for overzealous and inaccurate sensationalism, the New York Post has now seized top honors. It would be easier to identify those few background facts that are accurate than to catalog the number of blatant falsehoods in your story.

Particularly insidious is your misrepresentation that Ms. Rowe has accepted money to give up custody rights. That statement is unequivocally false:

- There has been no agreement reached between Ms. Rowe and the Jacksons;
- Ms. Rowe has not and will not "giv[e] up her parental rights";
- No determination has been reached concerning custody or visitation; and


New York Post
July 14, 2009
Page 2

- Ms. Rowe has not accepted – and will not accept – any additional financial consideration beyond the spousal support she and Michael Jackson personally agreed to several years ago.

Your story can only have been concocted with reckless disregard for the truth. Moreover, for a paper to disseminate so blatant a falsehood when young children are involved is particularly reprehensible.

I will look forward to your prompt retraction.

Sincerely,



Eric M. George

cc: [REDACTED]@nypost.com
Webeditor@nypost.com